

PB and PIT Meeting

Attendance:

John Phipps
Jim Douglas (teleconference)
Richard Del Hierro
Laura Hill
John Gebhard
David Potter
Carol Saras
Andrea Olson
Henry Bastian
Keith Smith (teleconference)
Chris Markle

All, attached are the slides we went over during the meeting yesterday for your viewing pleasure, they are also posted on the sharepoint.

DISCUSSION AREAS:

SA role until the initial operating capability will be to facilitate the ongoing development items for the PB and monitor the progress of the PIT with regard to the 'AS IS, TO BE' architecture and the rolling five year plan.

There will be a meeting with members of the EB and finalizing membership. There will also be a meeting between OCIOs and PB to gain and understanding of roles and how this structure will enable future roadmaps. Both are currently scheduled for the week of 24 Sep, planning to travel to DC with PB members and EB.

It was recommended by Jim for everyone to review para 4.4 of the DOI IT Roadmap to help inform the requirements of fire. COB 23 Aug for comments. PB and PIT discussed how consideration of strategic documents should help inform Wildland Fire I & T strategies in the future, example would be both FS and DOI desiring to move toward cloud computing.

There is a need to discuss how the reporting gets done for new and continuing investments. EB will define how this will be accomplished with the new line of business approach.

There is a need to embrace the external to fire processes i.e. IRB/IRDB and the capital planning processes EB will be the

interface for these processes. No real discussions have been had at the agency level for the new investment process changes.

Discussion then turned to the distinct roles of the PB and PIT. PB considering what resources to bring in to assist the PIT to provide an independent evaluation of the 'AS IS' architecture and transition to the 'TO BE' architecture. John Gebhard offered Noblis as a vendor that could provide that consultancy work. This has to be a strategic look at how we move forward. Jim suggested that concentration needs to be on the 80% of what we spend our IT dollars on, which may exclude some research investments. John Phipps suggests that there might be a category of applications that should be included in the architecture and O&M. This was the conclusion of a discussion initiated by Carol Saras regarding the consideration of Research Applications and hosting that may not be captured due to how they are managed.

SAs brought up that the Chairs for the boards have not yet been determined. Nominations are being vetted for the MAB and PB at this time, what is decided is that this will be a co chair between FS and DOI.

TASKS:

Project Manager: Set up separate meetings for the PIT and PB so that focus can be given to the priorities

Need solution to allow external parties into Sharepoint to update architecture forms

Work with both PIT and PB to establish timelines and requirements for vendor support

PB: Provide resources to work on the 'AS IS' architecture items.

Begin working the Domain Board Charters and population of those boards

Define processes and artifacts of how the boards will interact

Provide initial operating capability by Nov 2012.

PIT: Continue work on the 'AS IS' architecture

Develop SOO for independent review of 'AS IS' with strategic guidance towards the 'TO BE' environment

Submit SOO for approval to PB, funding source undefined at this time.

NEXT MEETING:

PB: Scheduled for every Wednesday at 2pm, venue subject to change.

PIT: New meeting schedule will be defined after first meeting next Thursday AM.

Chris Markle
208-407-6278